

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,717	11/19/2003	George Likourezos	1004	6322
7590 04/04/2007 George Likourezos 261 Washington Ave.			EXAMINER	
			NORMAN, SAMICA L	
St. James, NY 11780			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/716,717	LIKOUREZOS, GEORGE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Samica L. Norman	3692				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISSINGUE OF THE MAILING DISSINGUE OF THE MAILING DEPTH OF THE MAILINGUE OF TH	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	lovember 2003.					
		s action is non-final.	•				
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
	·						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		9					
Attachment(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>20060526</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/716,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12 have been examined.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 14, line 14 recites the term "bate-stamped" that should recite "date-stamped." Page 16, line 11 refers to "bate-stamping charges" which should refer to "date-stamp charges." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemeth et al., U.S. PG-Pub No. 2005/0071233 (reference A on the attached PTO-892 document).
- 4. As per claim 1, Nemeth et al. teaches an Internet Printing Protocol (IPP) payment system for paying for IPP services, said system comprising: a plurality of IPP cards (see paragraph 0019, lines 9-10); a data structure storing a plurality of records, where each record includes an identification code and a monetary amount, and where each record corresponds to one of the plurality of IPP cards (see paragraph 0006, lines 1-5); and a processor for determining if the

monetary amount corresponding to one of the plurality of IPP cards is sufficient for the payment of an IPP service (see paragraph 0006, lines 11-16).

Page 3

- As per claim 2, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP payment system of claim 1 as described 5. above. Nemeth et al. further teaches wherein the plurality of IPP cards are prepaid (see paragraph 0004, lines 1-5).
- As per claim 3, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP payment system of claim 1 as described above. Nemeth et al. further teaches wherein the processor includes means for receiving instructions to deduct or add an amount from or to a monetary amount corresponding to one of the plurality of records via a network (see paragraph 0023, lines 13-19).
- 7. As per claim 4, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP payment of claim 1 as described above. Nemeth et al. further teaches wherein the processor determines if the corresponding monetary amount corresponding to one of the plurality of IPP cards is sufficient for the payment of a non-IPP service (see paragraph 0023, lines 13-19).
- 8. As per claim 5, Nemeth at al. teaches the IPP payment system of claim 1 as described above. Nemeth et al. further teaches wherein each of the plurality of IPP cards corresponds to a subscriber of said IPP payment system (see paragraph 0006, lines 1-5).
- 9. As per claim 6, Nemeth at al. teaches a IPP card comprising a front face and a back face, wherein at least one of said faces indicates at least a monetary amount associated with said IPP card and identification indicia for transmitting via a network to a remote processor for said remote server to identify said IPP card and to determine a monetary amount associated with said IPP card, wherein said monetary amount may differ from the monetary amount indicated on the at least one of said faces (see paragraph 0018, lines 11-17).

Art Unit: 3692

- 10. As per claim 7, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP card of claim 6 as described above.

 Nemeth et al. further teaches wherein said IPP card is prepaid (see paragraph 0004, lines 1-5).
- 11. As per claim 9, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP card of claim 6 as described above.

 Nemeth et al. further teaches wherein at least one of said faces includes at least one of the following: a magnetic stripe, computer chip, bar code, radio frequency identification (RFID) tag, a compact-disc type surface encoding digital data, and a hologram (see paragraph 0018, lines 5-8).
- 12. As per claim 10, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP card of claim 6 as described above.

 Nemeth et al. further teaches a computer-readable medium storing data (see paragraph 0018, lines 5-8).
- As per claim 11, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP card of claim 10 as described above.

 Nemeth et al. further teaches wherein said data includes a unique identification code assigned to said IPP card; the value of the monetary amount assigned to the IPP card; and a monetary amount for specific IPP services (see paragraph 0006, lines 1-5).
- 14. As per claim 12, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP card of claim 6 as described above. Nemeth et al. further teaches wherein the IPP card is a smart memory card configured for insertion within a reading device (see paragraph 0005, lines 6-10).
- 15. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemeth et al., U.S. PG-Pub No. 2005/0071233 (reference A on the attached PTO-892 document) in view of Akiyama, U.S. PG-Pub No. 2003/0093216 (reference B on the attached PTO-892 document).

Application/Control Number: 10/716,717

Art Unit: 3692

0014, lines 5-8 of Akiyama).

16. As per claim 8, Nemeth et al. teaches the IPP card of claim 6 as described above.

Nemeth et al. fails to teach wherein at least one of said faces includes a coupon. Akiyama teaches wherein at least one of said faces includes a coupon (see paragraph 0021, lines 6-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate this feature into the card of Nemeth et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate this feature for the purpose of allowing a shop to advertise itself making efficiency of gathering customers higher (see paragraph 0021, lines 1-6 and paragraph

Page 5

Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Masaki, U.S. PG-Pub No. 2001/0032185 (reference C on the attached PTO-892 document), teaches submitting a request to provide pay services over a network, such as a print job. Miyaji et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,940,581 (reference D on the attached PTO-892 document), teaches a printing system.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samica L. Norman whose telephone number is (571) 270-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:30a-5p, w/ 1st Fri off & 2nd 7:30a-4p.
- 19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard E. Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,717

Art Unit: 3692

Page 6

20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sln

PHENVISORY PATENT EXAMINER